Cities and Counties Not Currently Subject to SB 35 Streamlining Provisions

This determination represents Housing Element Annual Progress Report (APR) data received as of June 1, 2018. The following 14 jurisdictions have met their prorated Lower (Very-Low and Low) and Above-Moderate Income Regional Housing Needs Assessment (RHNA) for the Reporting Period and submitted their latest APR (2017). These jurisdictions are not currently subject to SB 35 (Chapter 366, Statutes of 2017) streamlining, but the jurisdictions are still encouraged to promote streamlining. All other cities and counties beyond these 14 are subject to at least some form of SB 35 streamlining, as indicated on the following pages.

For more detail on the proration methodology or background data see the SB 35 Determination Methodology.

	JURISDICTION
1	AMERICAN CANYON
2	BEVERLY HILLS
3	CALISTOGA
4	CARPINTERIA
5	FOSTER CITY
6	HEALDSBURG
7	HILLSBOROUGH
8	LAGUNA NIGUEL
9	LEMON GROVE
10	MILL VALLEY
11	SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY
12	SONOMA COUNTY
13	UKIAH
14	WEST HOLLYWOOD

June 1, 2018 Page 1 of 7

Cities and Counties Subject to SB 35 Streamlining Provisions

When Proposed Developments Include ≥ 10% Affordability

When jurisdictions have insufficient progress toward their Above Moderate income RHNA and/or have not submitted the latest Housing Element Annual Progress Report (2017), these jurisdictions are subject to SB 35 (Chapter 366, Statutes of 2017) streamlining for proposed developments with at least 10% affordability.

These conditions currently apply to the following 338 jurisdictions:

	JURISDICTION
1	ADELANTO
2	ALAMEDA COUNTY
	ALHAMBRA
4	ALISO VIEJO
5	ALTURAS
6	AMADOR
7	AMADOR COUNTY
	ANDERSON
	ANGELS CAMP
	APPLE VALLEY
	ARCADIA
	ARCATA
	ARROYO GRANDE
	ARVIN
	ATASCADERO
	ATHERTON
	ATWATER
	AVALON
	AVENAL
	AZUSA BAKERSFIELD
	BANNING
	BARSTOW
	BEAUMONT
	BELVEDERE
	BENICIA
27	
	BIGGS
	BISHOP
	_
	_

	JURISDICTION
31	BLYTHE
32	BRADBURY
33	BRAWLEY
34	BUENA PARK
35	BURBANK
	BUTTE COUNTY
37	CALAVERAS COUNTY
	CALIFORNIA CITY
	CALIMESA
	91 1-11 1 11 1
	CANYON LAKE
	CARMEL
	CARSON
	CATHEDRAL
	CERES
47	CHOWCHILLA
	CITRUS HEIGHTS
	CLAYTON
	CLEARLAKE
	CLOVERDALE
	COLFAX
	COLMA
	COLTON
	COLUSA
	COLUSA COUNTY
	COMMERCE
	COMPTON
	CONCORD
60	CORCORAN

JURISDICTION	
61 CORNING	
62 COVINA	
63 CRESCENT CITY	
64 CUDAHY	
65 CULVER CITY	
66 DEL NORTE COUNTY	
67 DEL REY OAKS	
68 DELANO	
69 DESERT HOT SPRINGS	
70 DINUBA	
71 DORRIS	
72 DOS PALOS	
73 DOWNEY	
74 DUARTE	
75 DUNSMUIR	
76 EAST PALO ALTO	
77 EL CAJON	_
78 EL CENTRO	
79 EL MONTE	
80 EL SEGUNDO	_
81 ESCALON	
82 ESCONDIDO	
83 ETNA	
84 EUREKA	
85 EXETER	
86 FARMERSVILLE	_
87 FERNDALE	
88 FILLMORE	_
89 FIREBAUGH	_
90 FORT BRAGG	

June 1, 2018 Page 2 of 7

Cities and Counties Subject to SB 35 Streamlining Provisions

When Proposed Developments Include ≥ 10% Affordability

When jurisdictions have insufficient progress toward their Above Moderate income RHNA and/or have not submitted the latest Housing Element Annual Progress Report (2017), these jurisdictions are subject to SB 35 (Chapter 366, Statutes of 2017) streamlining for proposed developments with at least 10% affordability.

These conditions currently apply to the following 338 jurisdictions:

	JURISDICTION		JURISDICTION		JURISDICTION
	FORT JONES		KINGS COUNTY		MAYWOOD
	FORTUNA		KINGSBURG		MCFARLAND
	FOUNTAIN VALLEY		LA CANADA FLINTRIDGE		MENDOCINO COUNTY
	FOWLER		LA HABRA		MENDOTA
	FRESNO COUNTY	135	LA HABRA HEIGHTS		MENIFEE
96	GARDEN GROVE	136	LA MIRADA	176	MERCED
97	GLENN COUNTY	137	LA PALMA	177	MERCED COUNTY
	GONZALES	138	LA PUENTE	178	MILLBRAE
99	GRAND TERRACE	139	LA QUINTA		MODESTO
100	GRASS VALLEY	140	LA VERNE	180	MODOC COUNTY
101	GREENFIELD	141	LAKE COUNTY	181	MONTAGUE
102	GRIDLEY		LAKEPORT	182	MONTCLAIR
103	GUADALUPE	143	LANCASTER	183	MONTEBELLO
104	GUSTINE	144	LASSEN COUNTY	184	MONTEREY
105	HALF MOON BAY	145	LATHROP		MONTEREY COUNTY
106	HANFORD	146	LAWNDALE		MONTEREY PARK
107	HAWAIIAN GARDENS		LEMOORE	187	MORENO VALLEY
	HAYWARD	148	LINDSAY	188	MORRO BAY
109	HEMET	149	LIVE OAK	189	MOUNT SHASTA
110	HERMOSA BEACH	150	LIVINGSTON	190	MURRIETA
	HIDDEN HILLS		LODI		NATIONAL CITY
	HIGHLAND		LOMA LINDA	192	NEEDLES
113	HOLTVILLE	153	LOMPOC	193	NEVADA CITY
114	HUMBOLDT COUNTY		LONG BEACH	194	NEWARK
115	HUNTINGTON BEACH	155	LOOMIS	195	NEWMAN
116	HUNTINGTON PARK	156	LOS ALAMITOS	196	NORCO
117	HURON	157	LOS ALTOS	197	NOVATO
118	IMPERIAL	158	LOS ALTOS HILLS	198	OCEANSIDE
119	IMPERIAL COUNTY	159	LOS ANGELES COUNTY		OJAI
120	INDIAN WELLS	160	LOS BANOS	200	ONTARIO
121	INDUSTRY	161	LOYALTON	201	ORANGE
122	INGLEWOOD	162	LYNWOOD	202	ORANGE COVE
123	INYO COUNTY	163	MADERA	203	ORLAND
124	IONE	164	MANHATTAN BEACH	204	OROVILLE
125	IRWINDALE		MANTECA	205	OXNARD
	ISLETON		MARICOPA	206	PACIFIC GROVE
	JACKSON		MARINA	207	PACIFICA
128	JURUPA VALLEY		MARIPOSA COUNTY	208	PALM DESERT
	KERMAN		MARTINEZ		PALMDALE
130	KERN COUNTY	170	MARYSVILLE	210	PALOS VERDES ESTATES

June 1, 2018 Page 3 of 7

Cities and Counties Subject to SB 35 Streamlining Provisions

When Proposed Developments Include ≥ 10% Affordability

When jurisdictions have insufficient progress toward their Above Moderate income RHNA and/or have not submitted the latest Housing Element Annual Progress Report (2017), these jurisdictions are subject to SB 35 (Chapter 366, Statutes of 2017) streamlining for proposed developments with at least 10% affordability.

These conditions currently apply to the following 338 jurisdictions:

HIDIODIOTION	UIDIODIOTION	UIDIODIOTION
JURISDICTION	JURISDICTION	JURISDICTION
211 PARADISE	251 SAN DIMAS	291 SOUTH GATE 292 SOUTH LAKE TAHOE
212 PARAMOUNT	252 SAN FERNANDO	
213 PARLIER	253 SAN GABRIEL	293 STOCKTON
214 PATTERSON	254 SAN JACINTO	294 SUISUN CITY
215 PICO RIVERA	255 SAN JOAQUIN	295 SUSANVILLE
216 PINOLE	256 SAN JUAN BAUTISTA	296 SUTTER COUNTY
217 PISMO BEACH	257 SAN LEANDRO	297 TAFT
218 PLEASANT HILL	258 SAN LUIS OBISPO	298 TEHACHAPI
219 PLYMOUTH	259 SAN MATEO COUNTY	299 TEHAMA
220 POINT ARENA	260 SAN PABLO	300 TEHAMA COUNTY
221 POMONA	261 SAN RAFAEL	301 TEMPLE CITY
222 PORTERVILLE	262 SAND CITY	302 TORRANCE
223 PORTOLA	263 SANGER	303 TRACY
224 POWAY	264 SANTA BARBARA	304 TRINIDAD
225 RED BLUFF	265 SANTA CLARITA	305 TRINITY COUNTY
226 REDDING	266 SANTA CRUZ COUNTY	306 TULARE
227 REDLANDS	267 SANTA MARIA	307 TULARE COUNTY
228 REDONDO BEACH	268 SANTA PAULA	308 TULELAKE
229 REEDLEY	269 SANTA ROSA	309 TUOLUMNE COUNTY
230 RIALTO	270 SANTEE	310 TURLOCK
231 RICHMOND	271 SARATOGA	311 TWENTYNINE PALMS
232 RIDGECREST	272 SAUSALITO	312 UNION CITY
233 RIO DELL	273 SCOTTS VALLEY	313 UPLAND
234 RIO VISTA	274 SEAL BEACH	314 VALLEJO
235 RIPON	275 SEASIDE	315 VENTURA COUNTY
236 RIVERBANK	276 SEBASTOPOL	316 VERNON
237 RIVERSIDE	277 SELMA	317 VICTORVILLE
238 RIVERSIDE COUNTY	278 SHAFTER	318 VILLA PARK
239 ROLLING HILLS	279 SHASTA COUNTY	319 WATERFORD
240 ROLLING HILLS ESTATES	280 SHASTA LAKE	320 WEED
241 ROSEMEAD	281 SIERRA COUNTY	321 WEST SACRAMENTO
242 ROSS	282 SIGNAL HILL	322 WESTLAKE VILLAGE
243 SACRAMENTO	283 SIMI VALLEY	323 WESTMINSTER
244 SACRAMENTO COUNTY	284 SISKIYOU COUNTY	324 WESTMORLAND
245 SALINAS	285 SOLANA BEACH	325 WHEATLAND
246 SAN ANSELMO	286 SOLEDAD	326 WHITTIER
247 SAN BENITO COUNTY	287 SOLVANG	327 WILLIAMS
248 SAN BERNARDINO	288 SONOMA	328 WILLITS
249 SAN BRUNO	289 SONORA	329 WILLOWS
250 SAN DIEGO COUNTY	290 SOUTH EL MONTE	330 WOODLAKE

June 1, 2018 Page 4 of 7

Cities and Counties Subject to SB 35 Streamlining Provisions

When Proposed Developments Include ≥ 10% Affordability

When jurisdictions have insufficient progress toward their Above Moderate income RHNA and/or have not submitted the latest Housing Element Annual Progress Report (2017), these jurisdictions are subject to SB 35 (Chapter 366, Statutes of 2017) streamlining for proposed developments with at least 10% affordability.

These conditions currently apply to the following 338 jurisdictions:

	JURISDICTION
	WOODLAND
332	YOLO COUNTY
333	YORBA LINDA
	YREKA
335	YUBA CITY
	YUBA COUNTY
337	YUCAIPA
338	YUCCA VALLEY

June 1, 2018 Page 5 of 7

Cities and Counties Subject to SB 35 Streamlining Provisions

When Proposed Developments Include ≥ 50% Affordability

When jurisdictions have insufficient progress toward their Lower income RHNA (Very Low and Low income), these jurisdictions are subject to SB 35 (Chapter 366, Statutes of 2017) streamlining for proposed developments with at least 50% affordability. If the jurisdiction also has insufficient progress toward their Above Moderate income RHNA, then they are subject to the more inclusive streamlining for developments with at least 10% affordability.

The following list includes the 187 jurisdictions that are not subject to SB 35 streamlining for proposed developments with \geq 10% affordability, but are subject to SB 35 streamlining for proposed developments with \geq 50% affordability.

	JURISDICTION
	AGOURA HILLS
	ALAMEDA
	ALBANY
	ALPINE COUNTY
	ANAHEIM
6	ANTIOCH
7	ARTESIA
8	AUBURN
	BALDWIN PARK
	BELL
	BELL GARDENS
	BELLFLOWER
13	BELMONT
14	BERKELEY
	BREA
16	BRENTWOOD
	BRISBANE
	BUELLTON
	BURLINGAME
20	CALABASAS
	CAMARILLO
	CAMPBELL
	CAPITOLA
	CARLSBAD
	CERRITOS
	CHICO
	CHINO
	CHINO HILLS
	CHULA VISTA
	CLAREMONT
31	CLOVIS
	COACHELLA
33	COALINGA
34	CONTRA COSTA COUNTY
35	CORONA

	JURISDICTION
36	CORONADO
37	CORTE MADERA
38	
39	COTATI
40	CUPERTINO
41	CYPRESS
	DALY CITY
	DANA POINT
	DANVILLE
	DAVIS
	DEL MAR
	DIAMOND BAR
	DIXON
	DUBLIN
	EASTVALE
51	EL CERRITO
52	
53	
54	
55 56	
50 57	
5 <i>1</i>	
	FONTANA
60	
61	FRESNO
62	
	GALT
	GARDENA
	GILROY
	GLENDALE
	GLENDORA
	GOLETA
	GROVER BEACH
	HAWTHORNE

	JURISDICTION
	HERCULES
	HESPERIA
	HOLLISTER
	HUGHSON
	IMPERIAL BEACH
	INDIO
	IRVINE
	KING CITY
	LA MESA
	LAFAYETTE
	LAGUNA BEACH
	LAGUNA HILLS
	LAGUNA WOODS
	LAKE ELSINORE
	LAKE FOREST
	LAKEWOOD
	LARKSPUR
	LINCOLN
	LIVERMORE
	LOMITA
	LOS ANGELES
	LOS GATOS
	MADERA COUNTY
	MALIBU
	MAMMOTH LAKES
	MARIN COUNTY
97	MENLO PARK
	MILPITAS
	MISSION VIEJO
	MONO COUNTY
	MONROVIA
	MONTE SERENO
104	MORAGA

105 MORGAN HILL

June 1, 2018 Page 6 of 7

Cities and Counties Subject to SB 35 Streamlining Provisions

When Proposed Developments Include ≥ 50% Affordability

When jurisdictions have insufficient progress toward their Lower income RHNA (Very Low and Low income), these jurisdictions are subject to SB 35 (Chapter 366, Statutes of 2017) streamlining for proposed developments with at least 50% affordability. If the jurisdiction also has insufficient progress toward their Above Moderate income RHNA, then they are subject to the more inclusive streamlining for developments with at least 10% affordability.

The following list includes the 187 jurisdictions that are not subject to SB 35 streamlining for proposed developments with \geq 10% affordability, but are subject to SB 35 streamlining for proposed developments with \geq 50% affordability.

JURISDICTION	JURISDICTION
106 MOUNTAIN VIEW	147 SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY
107 NAPA	148 SAN JOSE
108 NAPA COUNTY	149 SAN JUAN CAPISTRANO
109 NEVADA COUNTY	150 SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY
110 NEWPORT BEACH	151 SAN MARCOS
111 NORWALK	152 SAN MARINO
112 OAKDALE	153 SAN MATEO
113 OAKLAND	154 SAN RAMON
114 OAKLEY	155 SANTA ANA
115 ORANGE COUNTY	156 SANTA BARBARA COUNTY
116 ORINDA	157 SANTA CLARA
117 PALM SPRINGS	158 SANTA CLARA COUNTY
118 PALO ALTO	159 SANTA CRUZ
119 PASADENA	160 SANTA FE SPRINGS
120 PASO ROBLES	161 SANTA MONICA
121 PERRIS	162 SIERRA MADRE
122 PETALUMA	163 SOLANO COUNTY
123 PIEDMONT	164 SOUTH PASADENA
124 PITTSBURG	165 SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO
125 PLACENTIA	166 STANISLAUS COUNTY
126 PLACER COUNTY	167 STANTON
127 PLACERVILLE	168 SUNNYVALE
128 PLEASANTON	169 SUTTER CREEK
129 PLUMAS COUNTY	170 TEMECULA
130 PORT HUENEME	171 THOUSAND OAKS
131 PORTOLA VALLEY	172 TIBURON
132 RANCHO CORDOVA	173 TRUCKEE
133 RANCHO CUCAMONGA	174 TUSTIN
134 RANCHO MIRAGE	175 VACAVILLE
135 RANCHO PALOS VERDES	176 VISALIA
136 RANCHO SANTA MARGARITA	177 VISTA
137 REDWOOD CITY	178 WALNUT
138 ROCKLIN	179 WALNUT CREEK
139 ROHNERT PARK	180 WASCO
140 ROSEVILLE	181 WATSONVILLE
141 SAINT HELENA	182 WEST COVINA
142 SAN BUENAVENTURA	183 WILDOMAR
143 SAN CARLOS	184 WINDSOR
144 SAN CLEMENTE	185 WINTERS
145 SAN DIEGO	186 WOODSIDE
146 SAN FRANCISCO	187 YOUNTVILLE

June 1, 2018 Page 7 of 7